

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/631,062

Applicant(s)

HARRINGTON ET AL.

Examiner

Richard Pantoliano Jr

Art Unit

2194

All Participants:

(1) Richard Pantoliano Jr.

(2) Wayne P. Bailey (Reg. No. 34,289).

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 19 July 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 3, 4, 6

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

WILLIAM THOMSON
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted Mr. Bailey on behalf of Mr. William Thomson, Supervisory Patent Examiner for art unit 2194, to inform Mr. Bailey that incorporating the limitations of Claims 3, 4, and 6 into the all of the independent claims would place the application in condition for allowance. After consulting with Applicants, Mr. Bailey informed Examiner that the changes were acceptable and authorized Examiner to enter said changes via Examiner's Amendment. .